

DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE
SECURITY COMMITTEE
COMPUTER SECURITY SUBCOMMITTEE

20 May 1982
DCISEC-CSS-M147

STAT 1. The One Hundred and Forty-Seventh meeting of the Computer Security Subcommittee was held on 18 May 1982 at [redacted] McLean, VA. The meeting was convened at 0930, and attending were:

STAT [redacted] Chairman
STAT [redacted] Executive Secretary
STAT [redacted] CIA
STAT [redacted] CIA
Mr. David Jones, DoE
Mr. Robert Graytock, Dept. of Justice
Mr. Carl Martz, Navy
Mr. James Studer, Army
Mr. Lynn McMulty, Dept. of State
STAT [redacted] NSA
STAT [redacted] SECOM
STAT [redacted] CIA (observer)
STAT [redacted] CIA (observer)

STAT 2. The Chairman announced that [redacted] the chairman of the SECOM, would be retiring on 17 June, and that any who are interested in attending the ceremonies are cordially invited.

3. The Chairman also discussed the results of the recent program build exercise for the FY 84 budget; he reported that he had requested \$650k, against tasks for a data dictionary, file encryption, and an audit trail study. He emphasized, however, that these tasks should be viewed as "planning wedges", or "place-holders", and that as the FY 83 and 84 programs become better defined, the specific tasks to be funded can be firmed up. For the FY 83 budget, the only proposals received to date have been those submitted by the DoE member, proposing tasks to be performed by the Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory (LASL). These proposals are attached and should be reviewed prior to the next meeting. Also included in the attachments are the final versions of the statements of work for the FY 82 tasks which were contracted to LASL.

4. There being no comments or additions to the minutes of the previous meeting, they were accepted as written.

5. The bulk of the meeting was concerned with the re-write of DCID 1/16.

a. The Executive Secretary distributed a new version of the proposed telecommunications section (copies attached), and discussed the change from the previous version. There was a discussion of an alternate approach to this section. Basically, the present proposal approaches the problem from the aspect of describing the security functionality required of the network. Another approach suggested would be to address the subject from the aspect of the protection principles which apply to foreign intelligence (e.g., "foreign intelligence must not, through any action of the host or the network, be caused to be delivered to any individual not authorized to receive it"). The Executive Secretary volunteered to draft a version using the alternate approach for the consideration of the subcommittee.

STAT

b. [] distributed copies of the latest CIA proposal for the basic DCID (copies attached), and various sections were discussed in varying amounts of detail. The first section discussed was the policy statement. There appeared to be no substantive objections to this section. Since it is critical to complete this section, the Chairman requested that the membership review the policy statement in detail, and be prepared to offer line in/line out comments by the next meeting.

c. The section on word processors was discussed, most of the members desiring explicit recognition of the fact that a word processor is, in fact, a computer. There are apparently aspects of word processors and their regulation which are not fully addressed by this section. The members are asked to make their desires/needs for this section known.

d. Most of the review of the various sections was cursory, with only minor comments noted;

- the wording in the Physical Security section needs to be changed to reflect the intended scope of the document (not just SCI).

- the details of the required administrative reports need to be worked out; content, format, etc. The State Department member offered to draft some wording for this section.

- a suggestion was made to move the section on the handling of magnetic storage media to an appendix (since there are already several reasonably complete treatments of this problem).

e. The section dealing with levels was not discussed in great detail, primarily in recognition of its importance; it was generally agreed that it could not be given sufficient attention with only a first-time, cursory look. It clearly will require more careful study.

6. At this juncture, significant progress on the DCID can be identified; formerly vexing problems with regard to scope, format, and basic philosophy have all been resolved. Additionally, the basic policy statement appears to be essentially complete, and the major sections of the accompanying regulation have been identified and drafted. Certainly a significant amount of "hacking" is still required to produce a final version, but the subcommittee is very close to having a representative draft in the near future.

7. The next meeting was set for 0930 on Tuesday, June 22 at the The members are requested to come prepared as follows:

- line in/line out comments on the policy section for the DCID.
- have reviewed the entire proposed DCID for discussion of substantive issues.
- have, in writing, any suggestions for expenditure of FY 83 funds.

Executive Secretary